

CORRECTION TO LEMMA 1.8 IN “PATCHING AND ADMISSIBILITY OVER  
TWO-DIMENSIONAL COMPLETE LOCAL DOMAINS”

We are grateful to Yong HU for pointing out to us a gap in the proof of [1, Lemma 1.8], namely the isomorphism  $R/\mathfrak{p} \cong S/\mathfrak{q}$  implies only  $S = R + \mathfrak{q}$  and not  $S = R + \mathfrak{p}S$  as required for this argument.

Lemma 1.8 in [1] is applied for the rings

$$R_0 = D_{J \cup J'}, R_1 = D_J, R_2 = D_{J'}, R = D_\emptyset,$$

where  $J \cap J' = \emptyset$ , to show that  $S := R_1 \cap R_2 = R$ . Let us show this assertion directly. In particular, this will trivially imply that for these rings  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}S$ .

Recall that  $I$  is a finite set and that  $v$  is the extension of the order function of the ideal  $\mathfrak{p} := (x, y) \triangleleft K[x, y]$  to  $K(x, y)$ . For  $i \in I$ , let  $z_i = \frac{y}{x - c_i y}$  and for a subset  $J \subset I$ ,  $D_J$  is defined as the completion of  $K[z_j | j \in J][x, y]$  with respect to  $v$ .

**Lemma 0.1.** *Let  $i, j \in I$  be two distinct indices. Then  $D_{\{i\}} \cap D_{\{j\}} = D_\emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* By [1, Proposition 1.5],  $D_{\{i\}} = K[z_i][[x - c_i y]]$  and hence an element  $f \in D_{\{i\}}$  can be written as  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z_i)(x - c_i y)^k$  for some polynomials  $f_k$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . Assume  $f \in D_{\{i, j\}}$  can also be written as  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(z_j)(x - c_j y)^k \in D_{\{j\}} = K[z_j][[x - c_j y]]$ , where  $g_k$  are polynomials for  $k \geq 0$ . In particular, one has

$$(0.1) \quad f_k(z_i)(x - c_i y)^k = g_k(z_j)(x - c_j y)^k \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{k+1} D_{\{i, j\}}}$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ . We claim that equality (0.1) in fact holds in  $D_{\{i, j\}}$ . Indeed since  $x - c_i y = (1 + (c_j - c_i)z_j)(x - c_j y)$ , the difference between the LHS and RHS in (0.1) is:

$$(0.2) \quad (f_k(z_i)(1 + (c_j - c_i)z_j)^k - g_k(z_j))(x - c_j y)^k \in k[z_i, z_j](x - c_j y)^k.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is contained in the center, [1, Proposition 1.5] implies that the difference (0.2) is in  $\mathfrak{p}^{k+1} D_{\{i, j\}}$  only if it is zero, proving the claim.

By finding a common denominator, one can write an element  $f_k(z_i)(x - c_i y)^k$  as  $\frac{p_k(x, y)}{(x - c_i y)^m}$  where  $m \geq 0$  and  $p_k$  is a homogenous polynomial of degree  $k + m$  that is prime to  $(x - c_i y)^m$ . Writing  $g_k(z_j)(x - c_j y)^k = \frac{q_k(x, y)}{(x - c_j y)^l}$  for  $l \geq 0$  and  $q_k$  a homogenous polynomial of degree  $k + l$  that is prime to  $(x - c_j y)^l$ , the equality  $\frac{p_k(x, y)}{(x - c_i y)^m} = \frac{q_k(x, y)}{(x - c_j y)^l}$  implies that  $m = l = 0$  and hence that  $f_k(z_i)(x - c_i y)^k \in K[x, y]$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . It follows that  $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(z_i)(x - c_i y)^k \in K[[x, y]]$  as required.  $\square$

Let us complete the proof of Proposition 1.10 in [1]:

**Proposition 0.2.** *Suppose  $J, J' \subseteq I$ . Then  $D_J \cap D_{J'} = D_{J \cap J'}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $D_{J \cap J'} \subseteq D_J \cap D_{J'}$ . For the converse inclusion, we distinguish between two cases. First suppose that  $J \cap J' \neq \emptyset$  and fix  $j \in J \cap J'$ . Then  $D_J = K[z_k | k \in J][[x - c_j y]]$ ,  $D_{J'} = K[z_k | k \in J'][[x - c_j y]]$  and hence

$$D_J \cap D_{J'} = (K[z_k | k \in J] \cap K[z_k | k \in J'])[[x - c_j y]].$$

By [1, Lemma 1.9],  $K[z_k | k \in J] \cap K[z_k | k \in J'] = K[z_k | k \in J \cap J']$ .

Now suppose that  $J \cap J' = \emptyset$ . If  $|J| = |J'| = 1$ , then the claim follows from Lemma 0.1. Assume without loss of generality  $|J| \geq 2$ . For  $j_1, j_2 \in J$ , we have

$D_J \cap D_{J' \cup \{j_i\}} = D_{\{j_i\}}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . In particular  $D_J \cap D_{J'} \subseteq D_{\{j_1\}} \cap D_{\{j_2\}}$ . By Lemma 0.1,  $D_{\{j_1\}} \cap D_{\{j_2\}} = D_\emptyset$  implying that  $D_J \cap D_{J'} = D_\emptyset$  as required.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. NEFTIN AND E. PARAN, Patching and admissibility over complete local domains of dimension 2, *Algebra and Number theory*, Vol. 4 (2010), No. 6, 743-762.