

On p -groups and K -admissibility

Research Thesis

submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Mathematics

Jonathan Charbit

Submitted to the Senate of
The Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

Kislev 5766 Haifa December 2005

Revised edition, August 2026

Preface to the revised edition (2026)

This is my M.Sc. thesis (Technion, December 2005, supervised by Jack Sonn), written and cited under my former name, Jonathan Charbit.

The text is the 2005 one, re-typeset. A few proofs have been corrected or written out more fully; they are listed on the next page. No result has been changed.

The subject has moved a good deal since 2005. Conjecture 1.1.10 is false as stated. Its hypothesis is what Neftin [N1] calls K -preadmissibility, and he exhibits a 2-group over a cubic field for which preadmissibility does not imply admissibility [N1, Example 1.1.9], together with a complete characterisation of when this happens for 2-groups [N1, Theorem 1.1.8]. The obstruction belongs to the prime 2 — the same difficulty that forced the restriction to odd p in Section 2.1 — and no result proved here is affected. For odd p and abelian groups the conjecture is a theorem, recorded in [N1, Theorem 1.1.1].

Admissibility over number fields was developed further by Neftin [N2] and by Neftin and Vishne [N3]. Over function fields of curves over complete discretely valued fields, Harbater, Hartmann and Krashen [HHK] settled Schacher’s question by patching methods. The Grunwald–Neukirch problems of Section 1.2, nowadays called Grunwald problems, were solved for iterated semidirect products of abelian groups by Demarche, Lucchini Arteche and Neftin [DLN], and for all supersolvable groups by Harpaz and Wittenberg [HW] — in both cases away from the places dividing the order of the group; Demeio [D] has announced a solution for all solvable groups, up to the Brauer–Manin obstruction.

A word on Section 2.5. The notion of local realizability introduced there was an attempt to name the property that actually does the work in these proofs. What it buys is that the property is inherited by certain semidirect products under hypotheses weaker than those known to preserve genericity, which is how Corollary 2.5.9 is obtained. The notion did not take hold, however: the subject settled instead on the Grunwald-type formulations of [N3]. It is also a real restriction rather than a mild one, and the difficulty it runs into is exactly the one the recent positive results avoid: for the groups considered here, the places that matter are those dividing the order of the group.

I thank Danny Neftin for his comments on this preface.

Yehonathan Sharvit (formerly Jonathan Charbit), August 2026.

References for this preface

- [D] J. Demeio, *Solvable descent and the Grunwald problem for solvable groups*, preprint, arXiv:2604.18099 (2026).
- [DLN] C. Demarche, G. Lucchini Arteche, D. Neftin, *The Grunwald problem and approximation properties for homogeneous spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **67** (2017), no. 3, 1009–1033.
- [HHK] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, D. Krashen, *Patching subfields of division algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 6, 3335–3349.
- [HW] Y. Harpaz, O. Wittenberg, *Zéro-cycles sur les espaces homogènes et problème de Galois inverse*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (2020), no. 3, 775–805.
- [N1] D. Neftin, *Admissibility of finite groups over number fields*, Ph.D. thesis, Technion, Haifa, 2011.
- [N2] D. Neftin, *Admissibility and field relations*, Israel J. Math. **191** (2012), no. 2, 559–584.
- [N3] D. Neftin, U. Vishne, *Realizability and admissibility under extension of p -adic and number fields*, Doc. Math. **18** (2013), 359–382.

List of corrections

Below is the complete list of differences between this edition and the 2005 text. Nothing else has been altered.

Corrections of substance

1. *Proposition 2.1.5.* The original proof established only that $c \neq 1$, and inferred from this that c is a *primitive* p^n -th root of unity, which does not follow; the assertion $\mu_p \not\subseteq K$ — half of the statement — was not proved at all. The proof now uses the irreducibility of $X^{p^n} - a$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ (which holds since a is not a p -th power in $\mathbb{Q}$ and p is odd) to describe the roots, to choose the embedding so that c is primitive, and to obtain $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = p^n$, whence $\mu_p \not\subseteq K$ because $p - 1 \nmid p^n$.
2. *Theorem 2.4.1, choice of generators.* The displayed assignment was valid only for $r = 1$: for $r \geq 2$ it assigns two different values to x_3 . Moreover a single assignment was given for all four relations, which cannot work, since in Serre's relation the powers sit on x_1, x_2 and the commutators begin at (x_2, x_3) . The generators are now paired cyclically, a_j with b_{j+1} and a_{r+1} with b_1 , so that the two entries of every commutator lie in different central factors, and three separate assignments are given: one for Demuskin's relation and Labute's first, one for Serre's, one for Labute's second.
3. *Theorem 2.4.1, orders of the generators.* Item 2 of the list, $a_j^p = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, r + 1$, is false for $p = 2$ when $C_{r+1} \simeq Q_8$, both of whose minimal generators have order 4. The list now reads $a_j^p = b_j^p = 1$ for $j \leq r$ and $a_{r+1}^{p^2} = b_{r+1}^{p^2} = 1$. In the same proof, n is now $[K_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and not $\text{rank}(G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(p))$, as the relations have $n + 2$ generators.
4. *Corollary 1.3.8, Case 2.* Both displayed assignments were inconsistent: the first sets $x_1 = e$ and $x_3 = a_1$ at $i = 1$ and then $x_3 = e$ at $i = 2$, and runs past x_{n+2} ; the second assigns a_2 to both x_4 and x_6 . They have been replaced by assignments in which every commutator of the relation has e as one entry and each generator is used once.
5. *Theorem 2.3.2, the local field at $\mathfrak{p}$.* The step $F_p = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{p})$ was deduced from Corollary 1.3.9, which concerns the maximal abelian extension of exponent p of a local field and does not give it. The argument is now supplied: $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ makes 2 a non-square mod p , and m is one by the choice of p , so $2m$ is a square mod p and $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m})$; and $\sqrt{p} \notin \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m})$ since the latter is unramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Minor corrections

6. *Definition 2.5.1.* The r primes are now required to be pairwise distinct. Without this the condition cannot be met by any group, and Example 2.5.4 would fail.
7. *Corollary 1.5.16, proof.* “By Corollary 1.5.16” now reads “By Theorem 1.5.15”, and “unramified prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of L/K ” reads “of M/K ”.
8. *Theorem 2.5.6, proof.* “ (r, K) -locally admissible” now reads “ (r, K) -locally realizable”.

The research thesis was done under the supervision of Professor Jack Sonn in the Department of Mathematics.

I would like to thank Professor Sonn for the way that he made me feel that I am always welcome in his office and for having, all the time, believed in me.

The generous financial help of the Technion is gratefully acknowledged.

I am thankful to my father who first gave me the taste of mathematics. And to my mother who taught me that there were things even more important than mathematics.

This thesis is dedicated to my wife Karine, all what is mine is hers.

Contents

Preface to the revised edition (2026)	i
List of corrections	ii
Abstract	1
Notation	2
1 Background	3
1.1 K -admissibility	3
1.2 Grunwald-Neukirch problems	5
1.3 The Galois group of $k(p)/k$	6
1.4 Generic extensions and generic polynomials	9
1.5 Embedding Problems	11
1.6 Extra-special p -groups	15
2 Results	18
2.1 Abelian groups	18
2.2 Semidirect products	20
2.3 Groups of order 16	22
2.4 Extra-special p -groups	25
2.5 Local realizability	26
Bibliography	28

Abstract

Let K be a field, L a finite extension of K and G a finite group. L is said to be K -adequate if there is a division algebra D finite dimensional and central over K containing L as a maximal subfield. We say that G is K -admissible if there is a Galois extension L/K with Galois group G such that L is K -adequate.

In the case of number fields, K -admissibility could be characterized by an arithmetic criterion: G is K -admissible if and only if there exists a Galois extension L/K with Galois group G such that for every prime p that divides the order of G , there are two primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that $\text{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{p}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ contains a p -Sylow subgroup of G .

In this work, we investigate the K -admissibility of some p -groups over number fields. We present basic facts on K -admissibility and we explain why we always have to assume that K contains at least two prime divisors of p . We present theorems of Grunwald-Wang-Hasse and Neukirch regarding Grunwald-Neukirch problems, we describe the structure of the Galois group of the maximal p -extension of a p -adic field, we state a few theorems of Saltman on generic extension theory and we give a basic introduction to embedding problems theory, in particular Brauer embedding problems where a local-global principle holds. Connecting all those facts together, we are led to general results on abelian p -groups and semi-direct product of p -groups.

We also prove, using a local-global principle for Brauer embedding problems, that in the case of groups of order 16 over quadratic number fields, the main conjecture for K -admissibility holds.

Relying on the structure theorem for extra-special p -groups, we show that the main conjecture for K -admissibility also holds for extra-special p -groups over any number field.

Finally, we introduce the concept of local realizability that allows us to unify a large part of our results and to state new results.

Notation

(a, b)	the commutator of a and b : $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$
G'	the commutator subgroup of a group G
$Z(G)$	the center of a group G
$G^{\times r}$	the direct product of r copies of a group G
$\Phi(G)$	the Frattini subgroup of a group G
C_{p^n}	cyclic group of order p^n
$H_1 \times H_2$	direct product of two groups H_1 and H_2
$H_1 \rtimes H_2$	semidirect product of two groups H_1 and H_2 (H_1 is normal)
$H_1 \wr H_2$	wreath product of two groups H_1 and H_2 (H_2 is acting)
D_8	dihedral group with 8 elements
Q_8	quaternion group with 8 elements
$\text{rank}(G)$	the minimal number of elements that generate a p -group G
G -extension	a Galois extension with Galois group isomorphic to a group G
$\mathbb{F}_q$	field with q elements.
$K_{\mathfrak{p}}$	the completion of a global field K in the $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic topology
$\bar{k}$	the residue field of a local field k
$\text{char}(F)$	the characteristic of a field F
$\text{Gal}(L/K)$	the Galois group of a field extension L/K
$\text{Br}(K)$	the Brauer group of the field K
$G_{\mathfrak{p}}$	the decomposition group at a prime $\mathfrak{p}$
F^*	the multiplicative group of a field F
μ_n	the group of roots of unity of order n
ζ_n	a primitive root of unity of order n
$\tilde{F}$	an algebraic closure of F
F_s	a separable closure of F
G_F	the Galois group of $\tilde{F}/F$
$F(p)$	the maximal p -extension of F
$G_F(p)$	the Galois group of $F(p)/F$
$h _A$	restriction of a mapping h to a set A

Chapter 1

Background

1.1 K -admissibility

The concept of K -admissibility was introduced by Schacher in [Sch]. The original definition of K -admissibility deals with the existence of a division algebra central over K with some properties.

Definition 1.1.1. *Let K be a field and L a finite extension of K .*

We say that L is K -adequate if there is a division algebra D finite dimensional and central over K containing L as a maximal subfield.

Definition 1.1.2. *Let K be a field and G a finite group.*

We say that G is K -admissible if there is a G -extension L/K that is K -adequate.

Already in his paper, Schacher showed that K -admissibility could be characterized by an arithmetic criterion in the case of global fields.

Before stating the criterion, we introduce a notation: let L/K be a Galois extension and $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime of K and $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r$ the prime divisors of $\mathfrak{p}$ in L . Then the $L_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ are isomorphic fields; we denote their isomorphism type by: $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem 1.1.3. *([Sch], Proposition 2.6) Arithmetic criterion for K -adequacy.*

Let K be a global field, G a finite group of order $n = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$ and L/K a G -extension.

L is K -adequate if and only if for every $i = 1, \dots, r$, there exist two primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that each $[L_{\mathfrak{p}} : K_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ is divisible by $p_i^{e_i}$, or equivalently, each decomposition group $G_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{p}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ contains a p_i -Sylow subgroup of G .

Remark 1.1.4. *If G is a p -group, the arithmetic criterion becomes easier: a G -extension L is K -adequate if and only if there exist two primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that $\text{Gal}[L_{\mathfrak{p}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}] = G$.*

Schacher also proved that in the case of global fields, the requirement of maximality of L in Definition 1.1.1 can be dropped from the definition of K -adequacy:

Proposition 1.1.5. *Let K be a global field and L a finite extension of K contained in some division algebra D central over K .*

Then L is K adequate.

From this proposition, we get the very useful corollary:

Corollary 1.1.6. *Let K be a global field, G a K -admissible group and H a homomorphic image of G .*

Then H is K -admissible.

Proof. Let N be a normal subgroup of G such that $H \simeq G/N$ and L/K an adequate extension with Galois group G . Let M be the fixed field of L by N . Then $\text{Gal}(M/K) \simeq H$ and by Proposition 1.1.5, M is K -adequate. Therefore, M shows the K -admissibility of H . $\square$

Determining whether a finite group G is K -admissible is generally difficult but in some cases, it is easy. This is illustrated in the next two examples that appeared in [Sch].

Example 1.1.7. *Let K be a global field and L/K a cyclic Galois extension.*

Then L is K -adequate.

Proof. Let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and σ be a generator of G . By Chebotarev's density theorem, there are infinitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of L such that the Frobenius automorphism corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}$ is σ , i.e. $G_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq G$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}_1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2$ be two such primes whose restriction to K are unequal. They show the K -adequacy of L . $\square$

In particular, cyclic groups are K -admissible over global fields: this is due to the fact that abelian groups are realizable over number fields.

Example 1.1.8. *Let p be a rational prime, K a global field containing only one prime divisor of p and G a K -admissible p -group.*

Then G is metacyclic i.e. a cyclic extension of a cyclic group.

Proof. Let L be a G -extension that is K -adequate. Then $G = G_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for two different primes $\mathfrak{q}$ of K . One of them must be different from $\mathfrak{p}$, the single prime divisor of p in K . Call it $\mathfrak{t}$, thus $\text{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{t}}/K_{\mathfrak{t}}) = G$.

But $\text{char}(\overline{K_{\mathfrak{t}}}) \neq p$, so $L_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is a tamely ramified extension of $K_{\mathfrak{t}}$. By [Wei] 3-5-3 and 3-6-4, G is metacyclic. $\square$

Liedahl in [Lie] was able to completely characterize all the number fields K such that a given metacyclic p -group is K -admissible.

This characterization relies on the presentation of metacyclic groups with generators and relations. By [Has] chap.16, if G is a metacyclic p -group realizable over a ℓ -adic field k , $\ell \neq p$, it has a presentation:

$$G = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \tau^a = 1, \sigma^b = \tau^i, \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \tau^q \rangle \quad (1)$$

where $q^b \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$, $a \mid i(q-1)$ and $q = |\bar{k}|$.

Theorem 1.1.9. *Let K be a number field and G a metacyclic p -group.*

Then G is K -admissible if and only if at least one of the following holds:

1. K contains (at least) two prime divisors of p .
2. G has a presentation (1) for which $K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\mu_a)$ is contained in the fixed field of the automorphism σ_q of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_a)$ that sends a primitive a -root of unity to its q -th power.

There is not much to add in the case of metacyclic p -groups. In our work, we are interested mainly in non-metacyclic p -groups. In view of Example 1.1.8, we always assume that the ground field contains (at least) two prime divisors of p .

When one wants to check the K -admissibility of a p -group G , one has to check the satisfaction of two requirements:

1. Local requirement: the existence of two primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K and two G -extensions $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
2. Global/Local requirement: the existence of a global G -extension L/K such that $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

It is conjectured that it is enough to satisfy the first requirement:

Conjecture 1.1.10. *Let G be a finite p -group and K a number field.*

If there are two primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K such that G is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then G is K -admissible.

Remark 1.1.11. *In the case of metacyclic p -groups, the conjecture holds.*

Proof. If the two primes of the conjecture are divisors of p , then Condition 1. of Theorem 1.1.9 holds: therefore G is K -admissible. If one of them, call it $\mathfrak{p}$, does not divide p , G has a presentation (1), where q is the number of elements of the residue field of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. a is coprime with q therefore $K_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mu_a)/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is unramified, with Galois group generated by σ_q . Then $K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\mu_a) \subset K_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\mu_a)$; the latter being obviously contained in the fixed field of the automorphism σ_q of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_a)$. Condition 2. of Theorem 1.1.9 holds: therefore G is K -admissible. $\square$

The gap between the existence of some local G -extensions and the existence of a global G -extension that realizes all these local extensions is the main concern of Grunwald-Neukirch problems. This is the subject of the next paragraph.

1.2 Grunwald-Neukirch problems

Let K be a number field and S a finite set of primes of K . Let G be a finite group. Assume that for every $\mathfrak{p}$ in S , there is a G -extension $L^{(\mathfrak{p})}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Those extensions are called local conditions. We look for a G -extension L/K such that $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = L^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ for every $\mathfrak{p}$ in S . We call this problem a Grunwald-Neukirch problem.

Before stating the two main results related to Grunwald-Neukirch problems, we need to explain what is the special case of Grunwald-Wang-Hasse theorem.

Definition 1.2.1. *Let K be a number field, r a natural number such that $K(\mu_{2^r})/K$ is not cyclic and $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime divisor of 2 in K .*

We say that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a critical prime for (K, r) if there is only one prime of $K(\mu_{2^r})$ above $\mathfrak{p}$. Sometimes we will say that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a critical prime of K , when r is obvious from the context.

Example 1.2.2. *It is well known that 2 is totally ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_8)/\mathbb{Q}$, which has Galois group $C_2 \times C_2$. Thus, 2 is a critical prime for $(\mathbb{Q}, 3)$.*

We now state a theorem about elements that are p^n -th power in $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The following theorem is a particular case of [Wei], Proposition 3-1-6:

Theorem 1.2.3. *Let p be a prime number, s an integer.*

Then for every integer $i \geq s + 1$, there is $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $1 + p^{i+s} = b^{p^s}$.

Example 1.2.4. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{66})$ and $r \geq 3$. Then -1 , 2 and -2 are not squares in K . So $K(\mu_8)/K$ is not cyclic, therefore $K(\mu_{2^r})/K$ is not cyclic. $66 \equiv 2 \pmod{8} \Rightarrow 2$ has one prime divisor $\mathfrak{p}$ in K . By Theorem 1.2.3 (with $p = 2$, $s = 1$, $i = 4$), $\sqrt{33} \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \Rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{66}) = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2})$. Therefore, $K_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mu_{2^r})/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has degree 2^{r-2} . But $K(\mu_{2^r})/K$ has degree 2^{r-1} , therefore there must be more than one prime of $K(\mu_{2^r})$ above $\mathfrak{p}$.

Hence, $\mathfrak{p}$ is not a critical prime for (K, r) . But $\mathfrak{p}$ is the only prime divisor of 2 in K . The conclusion is that there are no critical primes for (K, r) .

Definition 1.2.5. Let K be a number field, m a natural number and S a finite set of primes of K and 2^r the maximal power of 2 dividing m .

We say that (K, m, S) falls into the special case if all the following conditions hold:

1. $K(\mu_{2^r})/K$ is not cyclic.
2. S contains all the critical primes for (K, r) .

Example 1.2.6. If Condition 1. holds and there are no critical primes for (K, r) , then Condition 2. trivially holds for every finite set of primes S . For instance, by Example 1.2.4, $(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{66}), m, S)$ falls into the special case for every finite set of primes S and m divisible by 8 .

The following theorem is due to Grunwald, Wang and Hasse ([NSW] p. 461):

Theorem 1.2.7. Let K be a number field, G an abelian group of exponent m and S a finite set of primes of K .

Then there is a solution to every Grunwald-Neukirch problem over K with Galois group G , provided that (K, m, S) does not fall into the special case.

In the case where K does not contain p -roots of unity, this theorem can be generalized to all p -groups. The following theorem is a particular case of [Neu1], §3, Corollary 2:

Theorem 1.2.8. Let p be a rational prime, G a p -group and K a number field not containing μ_p , and S a finite set of primes of K .

Then there is a solution to every Grunwald-Neukirch problem over K with Galois group G .

We wish to connect between those two theorems and K -admissibility. In one hand, the case of K -admissibility is easier because we have to respect local conditions only at two prime divisors. But in the other hand, in Grunwald-Neukirch problems we know a priori that the group is locally realizable.

It leads naturally to the following question: What p -groups G are realizable over a local field k ?

In the case where $p \neq \text{char}(\bar{k})$, we know that only metacyclic groups are realizable over k , as we explained in the proof of Example 1.1.8.

In the case where $p = \text{char}(\bar{k})$, we need to know the Galois group of the maximal p -extension of k . This is the subject of the next paragraph.

1.3 The Galois group of $k(p)/k$

Throughout this paragraph k will be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and we denote $n = [k : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

Let $k(p)$ be the maximal p -extension of k . It is simply the compositum of all the finite Galois k -extensions of degree p^i . We write $G_k(p) = \text{Gal}(k(p)/k)$.

By infinite Galois theory, $G_k(p) = \varprojlim \text{Gal}(L/K)$ where L/K runs over all the Galois extensions of degree p^i .

A p -group is realizable over k if and only if it is a quotient of $G_k(p)$.

The structure of $G_k(p)$ is completely determined by the following theorems ([NSW] pp. 357-358): they show that this structure depends mainly on p^s , the largest p -power of the roots of unity contained in k .

Theorem 1.3.1. (*Shafarevich*) Assume that k contains no p -roots of unity.

Then $G_k(p)$ is the free pro- p -group of rank $n + 1$.

This implies that every p -group G such that $\text{rank}(G) \leq n + 1$ is realizable over k .

Theorem 1.3.2. (*Demuskin*) Assume that $p^s > 2$.

Then $G_k(p)$ is the pro- p -group defined by $n + 2$ generators $x_1, \dots, x_{n+2}$ subject to the one relation:

$$x_1^{p^s}(x_1, x_2)(x_3, x_4) \dots (x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 1.$$

This implies that every p -group G that can be generated by $n + 2$ elements satisfying this relation is realizable over k : we say that Demuskin's relation holds in G .

Remark 1.3.3. It is obvious that $p^s > 2$ can occur only if n is even. Indeed, if $p = 2$ then $p^s > 2 \Rightarrow i \in k$; therefore $[\mathbb{Q}_2(i) : \mathbb{Q}_2] = 2$ divides n . If p is odd, $p^s > 2 \Rightarrow \mu_p \subseteq k$; therefore $[\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = p - 1$ divides n .

Example 1.3.4. Let A be an abelian p -group such that $\text{rank}(A) \leq n + 1$.

If $p^s > 2$ then A is realizable over k .

Proof. Let e be the identity of A and $A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \rangle$. It is also true that $A = \langle e, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \rangle$.

A is abelian therefore $(a_i, a_j) = 1$ for all i, j . Thus, $e, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}$ satisfy Demuskin's relation: $e^{p^s}(e, a_1) \dots (a_n, a_{n+1}) = 1$. $\square$

Example 1.3.5. Let G be a p -group such that $\text{rank}(G) \leq \frac{n+2}{2}$.

If $p^s > 2$ then G is realizable over k .

Proof. Let e be the identity of G and $G = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{n+2}{2}} \rangle$ (n is even). We have: $(a_i, e) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \frac{n+2}{2}$. We choose the generators for Demuskin's relation in the following way:

$$x_{2i-1} = e, x_{2i} = a_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, \frac{n+2}{2}.$$

Thus, Demuskin's relation holds in G . $\square$

The case where $p^s = 2$ is handled by theorems of Serre (if n is odd) and Labute (if n is even). References can be found in [NSW] p. 359.

Theorem 1.3.6. (*Serre*) Assume that $p^s = 2$ and n is odd.

Then $G_k(2)$ is the pro-2-group defined by $n + 2$ generators $x_1, \dots, x_{n+2}$ subject to the one relation:

$$x_1^2 x_2^4 (x_2, x_3)(x_4, x_5) \dots (x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 1.$$

In particular, $G_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(2)$ is the pro-2-group defined by 3 generators x, y, z subject to the one relation:

$$x^2 y^4 (y, z) = 1.$$

Theorem 1.3.7. (Labute) Assume that $p^s = 2$ and n is even.

Then $G_k(2)$ is the pro-2-group defined by $n + 2$ generators $x_1, \dots, x_{n+2}$ subject to the one relation:

$$x_1^{2+2^f} (x_1, x_2) (x_3, x_4) \dots (x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 1$$

or

$$x_2^2 (x_1, x_2) x_3^{2^f} (x_3, x_4) \dots (x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 1$$

with $f \geq 2$ an integer. The value of f and the determination of which of the two relations holds in Labute's theorem depend on k .

Corollary 1.3.8. Let G be a p -group such that $\text{rank}(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \rfloor$.

If $p^s = 2$ then G is realizable over k .

Proof. Let e be the identity of G and $G = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \rfloor} \rangle$.

Case 1. n is odd: $\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \rfloor = \frac{n+1}{2}$

We choose the generators for Serre's relation in the following way:

$$x_1 = e, \quad x_{2i} = e, \quad x_{2i+1} = a_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

Case 2. n is even: $\lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \rfloor = \frac{n+2}{2}$

We choose the generators for Labute's first relation in the following way:

$$x_1 = e, \quad x_2 = a_1, \quad x_{2i+1} = a_{i+1}, \quad x_{2i+2} = e \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2},$$

and for Labute's second relation:

$$x_1 = a_1, \quad x_2 = e, \quad x_3 = e, \quad x_4 = a_2, \quad x_{2i+1} = a_{i+1}, \quad x_{2i+2} = e \quad \forall i = 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}.$$

In both cases each of the $\frac{n+2}{2}$ generators is used exactly once, every commutator occurring in the relation has e as one of its two entries, and every generator carrying a power is e . We showed that both Labute's relation hold in G . $\square$

From the structure of $G_k(p)$, we are able to describe the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension of exponent p of k .

Corollary 1.3.9. The Galois group G of the maximal abelian extension of exponent p of k is:

1. if $p^s \geq p$, then $G = (C_p)^{n+2}$.
2. if $p^s = 1$, then $G = (C_p)^{n+1}$.

Proof. Let $\Phi(G_k(p))$ be the Frattini subgroup of $G_k(p)$. By infinite Galois theory, $G = G_k(p)/\Phi(G_k(p))$. By Burnside's basis theorem, $G = (C_p)^{\text{rank}(G_k(p))}$. The theorem now follows from Theorems 1.3.1, 1.3.2, 1.3.6 and 1.3.7. $\square$

We conclude this section with a theorem that reduces the realizability of $G \times C_2$ (G a 2-group) over a field F to the realizability of G , under some conditions on F .

Theorem 1.3.10. (*[GSS], Proposition 3.2*) *Let G be a 2-group and F a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ such that $|F^*/(F^*)^2| > |G/\Phi(G)|$.*

Assume that G is realizable over F , then $G \times C_2$ is realizable over F .

Proof. Let L/F be a G -extension and $M = L^{\Phi(G)}$. By the assumptions of the theorem, $[M : F] < |F^*/(F^*)^2|$. By Kummer's theory, there exists an element a in F such that $a \notin (M^*)^2$. Therefore, $a \notin (L^*)^2$ and $L(\sqrt{a})/F$ is Galois, with Galois group $G \times C_2$. $\square$

1.4 Generic extensions and generic polynomials

Definition 1.4.1. *Let G be a finite group, F a field, $p(\mathbf{t}, x)$ a monic polynomial in $F(\mathbf{t})[x]$, where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$ and M the splitting field of $p(\mathbf{t}, x)$ over $F(\mathbf{t})$.*

We say that $p(\mathbf{t}, x)$ is a G -parametric polynomial over F if the following conditions hold:

1. $M/F(\mathbf{t})$ is a G -extension.
2. Every G -extension L/F is the splitting field of a polynomial $p(\mathbf{a}, x)$ for some $\mathbf{a} \in F^r$.

Definition 1.4.2. *Let $p(\mathbf{t}, x)$ be a parametric polynomial for G over F .*

We say that $p(\mathbf{t}, x)$ is a generic G -polynomial over F if it is G -parametric over any field containing F .

Example 1.4.3. $x^2 - t$ is C_2 -generic over any field F of characteristic $\neq 2$.

Remark 1.4.4. *The existence of a G -generic polynomial over F does not imply the existence of a G -extension over F . For instance, $x^2 - t$ is C_2 -generic over $\mathbb{C}$, but obviously, C_2 is not realizable over $\mathbb{C}$.*

Now, we define the concept of generic extension. First, we need to talk about Galois extension of rings.

Definition 1.4.5. *Let S/R be an extension of commutative rings, i.e. R is a subring of S , and let G be a finite group acting as R -algebra automorphisms on S . Let S^G be the subring of S fixed by G .*

We say that S/R is a Galois extension with group G if the following conditions hold:

1. $R = S^G$
2. For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ in S and any $\sigma \in G \setminus \{1\}$, there is an $s \in S$ such that $\sigma s - s \notin \mathfrak{m}$.

Example 1.4.6. *Let L/K be a field extension with G acting on L as K -automorphisms: L/K is a Galois extension of fields with group $G \Leftrightarrow L/K$ is a Galois extension of rings with group G .*

Example 1.4.7. *Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be a finite Galois field extension with Galois group G . The automorphisms of the integer ring $\mathfrak{O}_K$ are exactly the restrictions of the automorphisms of G . Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a ramified prime of $K/\mathbb{Q}$. The automorphisms σ of G such that $\sigma s - s \in \mathfrak{p}$ for all $s \in S$ are by definition the elements of the inertia group of $\mathfrak{p}$. $\mathfrak{p}$ is ramified, so the inertia group is not trivial; therefore Condition 2. does not hold: $\mathfrak{O}_K/\mathbb{Z}$ is not Galois.*

Definition 1.4.8. Let S/R and S'/R be two Galois extension of rings with the same finite Galois group G . A Galois homomorphism is an R -algebra homomorphism $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ such that: $\phi(\sigma s) = \sigma \phi(s)$ for all $s \in S$ and $\sigma \in G$.

Definition 1.4.9. Let F be a field and $R = F[t, 1/t]$, where $t \in F[t] \setminus 0$. A Galois extension of rings S/R is a G -generic extension over F if the following conditions hold:

1. The Galois group of S/R is G .
2. For every extension field L/F and a G -Galois extension of rings T/L , there is a K -algebra homomorphism $\phi : R \rightarrow L$, such that there is a Galois isomorphism from $S \otimes_{\phi} L/L$ to T/L . The map ϕ is called a specialization.

In such a case, we say that G is generic over F or that there exists a generic G -extension over F .

The following theorem links between the concepts of generic polynomial and generic extension:

Theorem 1.4.10. ([JLY], Theorem 5.2.5.) Let F be an infinite field and G a finite group.

Then, there is a generic G -extension over F if and only if there is a generic G -polynomial over F .

Saltman proved that abelian groups are generic over any field F provided that a certain extension of F is cyclic:

Theorem 1.4.11. ([Sal1], Theorem 2.1)

Let A be an abelian group and 2^r the highest power of 2 dividing the exponent of A .

Assume that $F(\mu_{2^r})/F$ is cyclic. Then there is a generic extension for A over F .

The theorem follows from the two following facts, also proved in [Sal1]:

Theorem 1.4.12. ([Sal1], Theorem 1.5) Let G and H be finite groups with generic extensions over F .

Then $G \times H$ has a generic extension over F .

Theorem 1.4.13. ([Sal1], §2)

Let C be a cyclic p -group and 2^r the highest power of 2 dividing the order of C .

Assume that $F(\mu_{2^r})/F$ is cyclic. Then there is a generic extension for C over F .

Theorem 1.4.11 now follows from the structure theorem for finite abelian groups.

We observe that the assumptions of theorem 1.4.11 are close to the conditions of the special case (see Definition 1.2.5). The reason of this connection is that when G is generic over F , Grunwald-Neukirch problems always have a solution. This is the content of the next theorem, which is a corollary of [Sal1], Theorem 5.8.

Theorem 1.4.14. Let K be a number field and S a finite set of prime divisors of K . Let G be a finite group. Assume that for every $\mathfrak{p}$ in S , there is a G -extension $L^{(\mathfrak{p})}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

If G is generic over K , then there is a G -extension L/K such that $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = L^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ for every $\mathfrak{p}$ in S .

Remark 1.4.15. *Theorem 1.4.14 can be seen as a generalization of Theorem 1.2.7 in the case where $K(\mu_{2^r})/K$ is cyclic.*

There is also a result of Saltman in the case of semidirect product:

Theorem 1.4.16. *([Sal1], Theorem 3.7) Let F be a field and G a finite group acting cyclically on C_{p^m} .*

Assume that $\mu_{p^m} \subseteq F$ and that there is a G -generic extension over F . Then there is a $C_{p^m} \rtimes G$ -generic extension over F .

Remark 1.4.17. *We recall that the automorphism group of a cyclic group of prime power order p^m is:*

1. *if p is odd: $\text{Aut}(C_{p^m}) \simeq C_{p^{m-1}(p-1)}$*
2. *if p is even: $\text{Aut}(C_{2^m}) \simeq C_2 \times C_{2^{m-2}}$*

Corollary 1.4.18. *Let p be an odd rational prime, A a finite abelian group of exponent not divisible by 8, acting on C_{p^m} and F a field that contains μ_{p^m} .*

Then there is a $C_{p^m} \rtimes A$ -generic extension over F .

Proof. In the notation of Theorem 1.4.11, we have: $2^r = 1, 2$ or 4 . Anyway, $K(\mu_{2^r}) \subseteq K(\sqrt{-1})$. Thus $K(\mu_{2^r})/K$ is cyclic. By Theorem 1.4.11, A is generic over K .

By Remark 1.4.17, the action of A on C_{p^m} is cyclic. By Theorem 1.4.16, there is a $C_{p^m} \rtimes A$ -generic extension over F . $\square$

We conclude this section with another results of Saltman:

Theorem 1.4.19. *([Sal1], Theorem 3.3) Let G and H be finite groups with generic extensions over F .*

Then the wreath product $G \wr H$ has a generic extension over F .

Theorem 1.4.20. *([Sal2]) Let G be a non-abelian group of order p^3 and F an arbitrary field that contains μ_p .*

Then G has a generic extension over F .

In the general case, a quotient of a group with generic extension need not to have a generic extension. But, in the case of semidirect product we have the following:

Theorem 1.4.21. *([Sal1], Theorem 3.1) Let G and H be two finite groups and F an arbitrary field such that $G \rtimes H$ has a generic extension over F .*

Then H has a generic extension over F .

1.5 Embedding Problems

Let F be a field, M/F a finite Galois extension with Galois group G . Let G_F be the Galois group of a separable algebraic closure F_s of F and $f: E \rightarrow G$ a group extension of G .

In our work, we restrict ourselves to the case where $A := \ker f$ is abelian. Let $\varepsilon \in H^2(G, A)$ be the class corresponding to f .

We define the embedding problem $[E, F, M, \varepsilon]$ as the problem to find an homomorphism $\theta : G_F \rightarrow E$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & G_F & = & G_F & & \\ & & \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{f} & G \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

where ψ denotes the natural projection (it sends an automorphism of F_s to its restriction to M).

A is the kernel of the embedding problem.

θ is a solution to the embedding problem.

Remark 1.5.1. *In the case where θ is surjective, it induces a solution field L - the fixed field of $\ker \theta$ in F_s , which has the following properties:*

1. L is a Galois extension of F containing M .
2. $\text{Gal}(L/F) \simeq E$.

Proof. 1. follows from $\ker \theta \triangleleft G_F$ and $\ker \theta \leq \ker \psi$ (follows from diagram commutativity).

2. comes from: $E \simeq G_F / \ker \theta$. □

Remark 1.5.2. *In the other direction, if there exists an H -extension L/F containing M , where $H \leq E$, then the natural projection from G_F onto $H = \text{Gal}(L/F)$, seen as a homomorphism from G_F to E , is a solution to the embedding problem $[E, F, M, \varepsilon]$ provided that there are h (an isomorphism) and g (an automorphism) such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gal}(L/F) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \text{Gal}(M/F) \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ E & \xrightarrow{f} & G \end{array}$$

where ψ denotes the natural projection (it sends an automorphism of L to its restriction to M). In addition, if $H = E$, the solution is surjective.

Lemma 1.5.3. *Let $r \leq n$ be two natural numbers, p a prime number and $f_1, f_2 : C_{p^n} \rightarrow C_{p^r}$ two epimorphisms.*

Then, there exist automorphisms h and g , such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{f_1} & C_{p^r} \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ C_{p^n} & \xrightarrow{f_2} & C_{p^r} \end{array}$$

The lemma is a particular case of Gaschütz's lemma ([FrJ], Lemma 17.7.2). Here, we give an elementary proof:

Proof. Let α be a generator of C_{p^n} and $\beta = f_2(\alpha)$. Obviously, β is a generator of C_{p^r} ; therefore there is a natural number $m < p^r$ such that $f_1(\alpha) = \beta^m$. Moreover, m must be coprime with p (because $f_1(\alpha)$ is a generator of C_{p^r}).

Now, in order to define $h : C_{p^n} \rightarrow C_{p^n}$, it is enough to determine what is the image of α under h . We define $h(\alpha) = \alpha^m$; m is coprime with p therefore α^m is a generator of C_{p^n} . Thus, h is an isomorphism.

We take $g : C_{p^r} \rightarrow C_{p^r}$ as the identity.

Now let us check that the diagram commutes (it is enough to check commutativity for a generator):

$$g(f_1(\alpha)) = g(\beta^m) = \beta^m$$

$$f_2(h(\alpha)) = f_2(\alpha^m) = f_2(\alpha)^m = \beta^m$$

□

Remark 1.5.4. *A is abelian implies that G acts on A by conjugation: $ga = \alpha^{-1}a\alpha$, where α is any element of E such that $f(\alpha) = g$ (it is easy to check that this action is well defined and does not depend on the choice of α). Therefore, A could be seen as a G_F -module, G_F acting on A through the natural projection ψ .*

In some cases, the existence of a surjective solution to an embedding problem is equivalent to the existence of a solution. The first case deals with embedding problems with abelian kernels and it is covered by a theorem of Ikeda. A proof of Ikeda's theorem can be found in [FrJ], Prop. 16.4.5.

Definition 1.5.5. *We say that an embedding problem is split if $E \simeq A \rtimes G$.*

Theorem 1.5.6. ([Ike]) *Let K be a number field.*

Then an embedding problem with abelian kernel over K has a surjective solution if and only if it has a solution.

From Ikeda's theorem, we easily get the following corollary:

Corollary 1.5.7. (Scholz) *Let K be a number field.*

Then a split embedding problem with abelian kernel over K has a surjective solution.

Proof. f is split. Therefore there is an homomorphism $\pi : G \rightarrow E$ such that $f \circ \pi = id$.

$\theta := \pi \circ \psi$ is a solution to the embedding problem. By Theorem 1.5.6, there exists a surjective solution. □

The second case where the existence of a (non-surjective) solution is sufficient is when the kernel is contained in the Frattini subgroup of E .

Theorem 1.5.8. *Let F be a field.*

Then every solution to an embedding problem with Frattini-contained kernel is surjective.

Proof. Let $\Phi(E)$ be the Frattini subgroup of E and $H = \theta(G_F)$. Let A be the kernel of the embedding problem. From the commutative diagram, we have: $f(H) = G \Rightarrow HA/A = G$. Also $E/A = G$, then $HA = E$ and the assumption that $A \subseteq \Phi(E)$ implies: $H\Phi(E) = E$. By definition of the Frattini subgroup, we get: $H = E$. □

In general, the main tool to solve an embedding problem over number fields is the local-global principle (LGP), which states that an embedding problem has a solution if and only if all the local embedding problems have one. LGP does not hold for any embedding problem. Here, we prove that it holds for Brauer embedding problems.

First, we need to explain what do we mean by a local embedding problem.

Definition 1.5.9. Let K be a number field, $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime of K and $[E, K, M, \varepsilon]$ an embedding problem. Let $G_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Gal}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $f : E \rightarrow G$ one of the homomorphisms of the group extension corresponding to ε .

The local embedding problem at $\mathfrak{p}$ is: $[E_{\mathfrak{p}}, K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}]$, where:

$$E_{\mathfrak{p}} = f^{-1}(G_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

$\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the class in $H^2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)$ corresponding to the group extension defined by the restriction of f to $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (onto $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$).

Remark 1.5.10. For example, if $G_{\mathfrak{p}} = G$, then $E_{\mathfrak{p}} = E$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} = \varepsilon$. Therefore, the local embedding problem at $\mathfrak{p}$ is: $[E, K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}, \varepsilon]$.

Remark 1.5.11. It follows from the definition of the local embedding problem that if θ is a solution to a global embedding problem then $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}} := \theta|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ is a solution to the local embedding problem at $\mathfrak{p}$. In other words, one direction of LGP always holds trivially. If both θ and $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are surjective, let $L, L^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ be the solution fields induced by $\theta, \theta|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ respectively (as explained in Remark 1.5.1). Then $L^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is the completion of L at $\mathfrak{p}$. But the surjectivity of θ does not imply the surjectivity of $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Remark 1.5.12. $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is also the image of ε under the natural homomorphism $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} : H^2(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)$.

Definition 1.5.13. An embedding problem $[E, K, M, \varepsilon]$ with abelian kernel A is called a Brauer embedding problem if A is isomorphic to some μ_r as a G_K -module. ($\mu_r \subseteq \tilde{K}$, thus G_K acts naturally on μ_r .)

Example 1.5.14. Assume A is a trivial G -module and A is isomorphic to μ_r as a group. Then if $\mu_r \subseteq K$, the action of G_K on μ_r is trivial. Therefore, we have a Brauer embedding problem.

Now, we come to the theorem that states that LGP holds in the case of Brauer embedding problems over number fields.

Theorem 1.5.15. ([MM], p. 321) Let K be a number field, and $[E, K, M, \varepsilon]$ a Brauer embedding problem with kernel A . The local global principle holds in this case i.e. if all the local embedding problems $[E_{\mathfrak{p}}, K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ have a solution then $[E, K, M, \varepsilon]$ also has a solution.

Corollary 1.5.16. Let K be a number field, and $[E, K, M, \varepsilon]$ a Brauer embedding problem with kernel C .

Assume that for every ramified prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of M/K , the local embedding problem has a solution. Then the global embedding problem has a solution.

Proof. By Theorem 1.5.15, it is sufficient to prove that for every unramified prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of M/K , the local embedding problem $[E_{\mathfrak{p}}, K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ has a solution.

Let $f : E \rightarrow G$ be one of the homomorphisms of the group extension corresponding to ε . Now, for every unramified prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of M/K , $G_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Gal}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is cyclic. Let $d^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ be a generator of $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We define $D^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ as the (cyclic) subgroup of $E_{\mathfrak{p}} = f^{-1}(G_{\mathfrak{p}})$ generated by $f^{-1}(d^{(\mathfrak{p})})$.

Now, the unramified extension $L^{(\mathfrak{p})}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of order $|D^{(\mathfrak{p})}|$ contains $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. C and $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are cyclic, therefore by Remark 1.5.2 and Lemma 1.5.3, there exists a solution to the embedding problem $[E_{\mathfrak{p}}, K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}, \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}]$. $\square$

We conclude this section with a theorem of Neukirch. The following theorem is a corollary of [Neu2], Theorem (6.6) and Theorem (6.4)(e):

Theorem 1.5.17. *Let $[E, K, M, \varepsilon]$ be a global embedding problem with odd cyclic kernel and S a finite set of prime divisors of K . Assume that the global embedding problem has a solution and that for every $\mathfrak{p}$ in S , there is a solution $\theta^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ to the local embedding problem at $\mathfrak{p}$.*

Then there exists a global solution θ such that $\theta|_{G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} = \theta^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ for every $\mathfrak{p}$ in S .

1.6 Extra-special p -groups

We conclude the background part, with a presentation of the structure theorem for extra-special p -groups. In our work, we use this theorem to investigate K -admissibility of extra-special p -groups. The material exposed here comes from [Rob] pp. 145-146.

Since the structure theorem characterizes extra-special p -groups in terms of central products of non-abelian groups of order p^3 , we begin with the definition of the central product and a well known result on groups of order p^3 .

Definition 1.6.1. *A group G is the central product of its normal subgroups $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$ if the following conditions hold:*

1. $G = G_1 G_2 \cdots G_n$.
2. G_i commutes with G_j for all $i \neq j$ i.e. $(a_i, a_j) = 1$ for all a_i in G_i , a_j in G_j .
3. $G_i \cap \prod_{j \neq i} G_j = Z(G)$.

Remark 1.6.2. *Condition 2. implies that $Z(G_i) \subseteq Z(G)$ while Condition 3. implies that $Z(G) \subseteq G_i$. Thus $Z(G_i) = Z(G)$*

There are two isomorphism types of non-abelian groups of order p^3 :

Theorem 1.6.3. *Let G be a non-abelian group of order p^3 . If $p = 2$, then $G \simeq D_8$ or Q_8 .*

If p is odd, then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

1. D_p of exponent p : $D_p = \langle x, y \mid x^p = y^p = 1, (x, y)^x = (x, y) = (x, y)^y \rangle$.
2. $Q_p = \langle x, y \mid x^{p^2} = y^p = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{1+p} \rangle$.

We will need the following lemma later in this chapter:

Lemma 1.6.4. *Let G be a group such that $G' \subseteq Z(G)$.*

Then, for every $a, b \in G$ and every natural number n , we have: $(a, b^n) = (a, b)^n$.

Proof. First, we recall the following commutator identity:

$$(a, bc) = (a, b)(a, c)((a, b), c).$$

Now, $G' \subseteq Z(G) \Rightarrow ((a, b), c) = 1 \Rightarrow (a, bc) = (a, b)(a, c) \Rightarrow (a, b^n) = (a, b)^n$. $\square$

Now, we come to the definition of extra-special p -groups.

Definition 1.6.5. A finite p -group G is called extra-special if G' and $Z(G)$ coincide and have order p .

Lemma 1.6.6. Let G be an extra-special p -group with $G' = Z(G) = C$.

Then $V = G/C$ is an elementary abelian p -group and therefore may be regarded as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Proof. Let x and g be elements of G . $G' = Z(G)$ therefore, by Lemma 1.6.4, $(x, g^p) = (x, g)^p$. $|G'| = p \Rightarrow (x, g^p) = (x, g)^p = 1$. Thus, $g^p \in Z(G)$.

Consequently, G/C is abelian (seen as G/G') of exponent p (seen as $G/Z(G)$): it is an elementary abelian p -group and it may be regarded as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with:

$$xC + yC = xyC$$

$$n \cdot xC = x^n C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{F}_p$$

□

Corollary 1.6.7. Let G be an extra-special p -group with $G' = Z(G) = C$.

Then $\Phi(G) = C$.

Proof. Immediate consequence of Burnside's basis theorem. □

Let G be an extra-special p -group and c a generator of $C = Z(G) = G'$. Now, if $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in G$, such that $x_1 = x_2\alpha$ and $y_1 = y_2\beta$, with $\alpha, \beta \in C$; then:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, y_1) &= x_1^{-1}y_1^{-1}x_1y_1 \\ &= \alpha^{-1}x_2^{-1}\beta^{-1}y_2^{-1}x_2\alpha y_2\beta \\ &= (x_2, y_2) \end{aligned} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in Z(G))$$

Therefore, we can write $(x, y) = c^{f(u, v)}$, where $u = xC$ and $v = yC$. Obviously, $0 \leq f(u, v) \leq p - 1$. Thus $f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is well defined.

Lemma 1.6.8. f is a non-degenerate, skew-symmetric bilinear form on V .

Proof. If $f(u, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$ then $(x, y) = 1$ for all $x \in u$ and $y \in G$. It means that $u = Z(G) = C = 0_V$: f is non-degenerate.

Now, $(x, y) = (y, x)^{-1} \Rightarrow f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$: f is skew-symmetric.

$(xx_1, y) = (x, y)^{x_1}(x_1, y)$. But (x, y) and $(x, y)^{x_1}$ belongs to the same class modulo C , therefore $f(u + u_1, v) = f(u, v) + f(u_1, v)$: f is bilinear. □

By a standard theorem in linear algebra on non-degenerate, skew-symmetric bilinear forms, there exists a direct sum decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$, where V_i is a 2-dimensional subspace with basis u_i, v_i such that:

1. $f(u_i, v_i) = 1$ for all i .
2. $f(u_i, v_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.
3. $f(u_i, u_j) = 0 = f(v_i, v_j)$ for all i, j .

Now, let's see what this statement means about G . Write $u_i = x_i C$, $v_i = y_i C$ and let G_i be the subgroup of G generated by x_i and y_i .

By definition of f , we have, for every i :

$(x_i, y_i) = c^{f(u_i, v_i)} = c$, where c is the generator of $C = Z(G) = G'$. Therefore, C is a subgroup of G_i . C is a normal subgroup of G , thus it is also a normal subgroup of G_i and $G_i/C \simeq V_i$.

Therefore, G_i is a non-abelian group of order p^3 . By Theorem 1.6.3, there are only two possible isomorphism types for G_i .

Also, we have $G = G_1 G_2 \cdots G_n$, $G/C = \bigoplus_i (G_i/C)$ and G_i commutes with G_j if $i \neq j$.

Consequently, G is the central product of G_i .

The order of G is obviously p^{2n+1} .

The last step before the statement of the structure theorem is the following lemma, stated without proof:

Lemma 1.6.9. *Let p be a rational prime and D, Q the two non-abelian groups of order p^3 . Then the central product $D^r Q^s$ is isomorphic to the central product $D^{r+s-1} Q$.*

The structure theorem for extra-special p -groups is:

Theorem 1.6.10. *([Rob] p.146) Let G be an extra-special p -group.*

Then $|G| = p^{2n+1}$ and G is isomorphic to one of the two following central products:

1. D_p^n
2. $D_p^{n-1} Q_p$

where D_p and Q_p are the two groups of Theorem 1.6.3

Chapter 2

Results

2.1 Abelian groups

Throughout this chapter, K will be a number field, k a p -adic field, p^s the largest p -power of the roots of unity contained in k , A a finite abelian p -group and G a finite p -group.

Regarding the question of K -admissibility, abelian groups are the easiest ones to handle. Indeed, by Theorem 1.2.7 an abelian group is K -admissible if and only if it is realizable over two completions of K provided that we don't fall into the special case.

For the moment, let us assume that p is an odd rational prime and A is an abelian p -group.

By Theorems 1.3.1 and 1.3.2, the realizability of A over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ depends only on the degree of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and the existence of an element of $A \setminus \Phi(A)$ of order dividing p^s (the largest p -power of the roots of unity contained in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$).

More precisely, we have:

Theorem 2.1.1. *Let n be a natural number, p an odd rational prime, K a number field containing two prime divisors $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$ of p such that $[K_{\mathfrak{p}_i} : \mathbb{Q}_p] \geq n$ and A an abelian p -group. Let p^{s_1}, p^{s_2} be the largest p -power of the roots of unity contained in $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, K_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ respectively.*

If $\text{rank}(A) \leq n + 1$ then A is K -admissible.

If $\text{rank}(A) = n + 2$, $\mu_p \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\exists x_1, x_2 \in A \setminus \Phi(A)$ such that $x_1^{p^{s_1}} = x_2^{p^{s_2}} = 1$ then A is K -admissible.

Proof. First, we wish to show that A is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ and $K_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$. Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Case 1: $\text{rank}(A) \leq n + 1$. If $p^{s_i} \geq 2$, we have shown in Example 1.3.4 that A is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. Now if $p^{s_i} = 1$ i.e. $K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ contains no p -roots of unity, A is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ by Theorem 1.3.1.

Case 2: $\text{rank}(A) = n + 2$. Demuskin's relation holds in A since A is abelian and $x_i^{p^{s_i}} = 1$ (it is possible to complete $\{x_1, x_2\}$ to a set of generators that verify Demuskin's relation): by Theorem 1.3.2, A is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$.

By Theorem 1.2.7, A is K -admissible (p is odd, therefore we are guaranteed to be out of the special case). $\square$

In the other direction, we have:

Theorem 2.1.2. *Let p be an odd rational prime, K a number field and A an abelian p -group of rank r that is K -admissible.*

Then one of the following holds:

1. A is metacyclic.
2. K contains two prime divisors $\mathfrak{p}$ of p that satisfy one of the following two conditions:
 - (a) $[K_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p] \geq r - 1$.
 - (b) $[K_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p] \geq r - 2$, $\mu_p \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\exists x \in A \setminus \Phi(A)$ such that $x^{p^s} = 1$.

Proof. By Example 1.1.8, if A is not metacyclic, K must contain two prime divisors of p such that A is realizable over the completion of K at both.

Now assume that (a) does not hold i.e. $\text{rank}(A) > [K_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$. By Theorem 1.3.1, it implies that $\mu_p \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Theorem 1.3.2, $\text{rank}(A) \leq [K_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 2$ and since A is abelian, there must exist an element x in A such that $x^{p^s} = 1$. $\square$

In the case where $p = 2$ there are two difficulties:

1. We might fall into the special case.
2. If $p^s = 2$, the relation that must hold in A is more complicated (see Theorems 1.3.6 and 1.3.7).

Difficulty no.2 is rather technical (it will lead us to assume the existence of one or two elements in A with some order), therefore we will assume that $p^s > 2$.

In order to overcome Difficulty no.1, we state the theorem with stronger assumptions:

Theorem 2.1.3. *Let r be a natural number, A an abelian group of order 2^r , K a number field containing a critical prime $\mathfrak{q}$ for (K, r) and two prime divisors $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$ of 2 distinct from $\mathfrak{q}$ such that A is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ and $K_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$.*

Then A is K -admissible.

Proof. Let $S = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2\}$ and $M^{(\mathfrak{p}_1)}/K_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, M^{(\mathfrak{p}_2)}/K_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ be A -extensions.

$\mathfrak{q} \notin S \Rightarrow S$ does not fall into the special case. By Theorem 1.2.7, there is an A -extension L/K such that $L_{\mathfrak{p}_1} = M^{(\mathfrak{p}_1)}$ and $L_{\mathfrak{p}_2} = M^{(\mathfrak{p}_2)}$. L shows that A is K -admissible. $\square$

Now, we wish to explain why $\mu_p \not\subseteq K$ is not equivalent to $\mu_p \not\subseteq K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime divisor of p in K . We are going to construct an explicit counter-example. But first, we state a lemma about the solutions of some diophantine equation:

Lemma 2.1.4. *Let p be a prime number. The diophantine equation $p^n = x^p - 1$ has a solution for at most two values of n .*

Proof.

$$p^n = x^p - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow p^n = (x - 1)(x^{p-1} + \cdots + x + 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow x - 1 = p^r, \quad x^{p-1} + \cdots + x + 1 = p^{n-r}, \text{ for some } r < n$$

$$\Rightarrow x = p^r + 1 \text{ and } x^{p-1} + \cdots + x + 1 = (p^r + 1)^{p-1} + \cdots + p^r + 2$$

Obviously, $x - 1 < x^{p-1} + \cdots + x + 1$. So $x - 1 \mid x^{p-1} + \cdots + x + 1 = p^{n-r}$.

First note that $r = 0 \Rightarrow x = 2 \Rightarrow p^n = 2^p - 1$ and $r = 1 \Rightarrow x = p + 1 \Rightarrow p^n = (p + 1)^p - 1$: those are the two values of n that the theorem allows.

Now, assume that $r > 1$: we have to prove that the diophantine equation has no solution. We will prove that $p^2 \nmid (p^r + 1)^{p-1} + \cdots + p^r + 2$, which contradicts the fact that $x - 1$ divides $x^{p-1} + \cdots + x + 1 = p^{n-r}$.

Indeed, for every $k = 0, \dots, p-1$, we have: $r > 1 \Rightarrow (p^r + 1)^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. Thus, $(p^r + 1)^{p-1} + \cdots + (p^r + 1) + 1 \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$. $\square$

Proposition 2.1.5. *Let p be an odd prime number.*

Then there is a natural number N such that for every $n \geq N$, there exists a number field K and a prime divisor $\mathfrak{p}$ of p in K such that: $\mu_p \not\subseteq K$ but $\mu_{p^n} \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1.4, there is an integer M such that for every n such that $2n + 1 \geq M$, $a = 1 + p^{2n+1}$ is not a p -th power in $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $N = \lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor$ and n a natural number $\geq N$. By Theorem 1.2.3 ($s = n$, $i = n + 1$), $a = 1 + p^{2n+1}$ is a p^n -th power in $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Thus, there exists b in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $a = b^{p^n}$.

Since p is odd and a is not a p -th power in $\mathbb{Q}$, the polynomial $X^{p^n} - a$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let ζ_{p^n} be a primitive p^n -th root of unity, let $\theta = \sqrt[p^n]{a} \cdot \zeta_{p^n}$ — a root of $X^{p^n} - a$ — and let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$; thus $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = p^n$.

The roots of $X^{p^n} - a$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ are the elements $b \cdot \zeta$ with $\zeta \in \mu_{p^n}$, and since $X^{p^n} - a$ is the minimal polynomial of θ over $\mathbb{Q}$, for each such ζ there is an embedding ϕ of K into $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with $\phi(\theta) = b \cdot \zeta$. We choose ζ primitive. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime divisor of p in K induced by ϕ , so that the completion of K at $\mathfrak{p}$ is $K_{\mathfrak{p}} = \phi(K)\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let $c = \phi(\theta) \cdot b^{-1} = \zeta$ in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By construction c is a primitive p^n -th root of unity. Thus $\mu_{p^n} \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Finally, $\mu_p \not\subseteq K$: otherwise $[\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p) : \mathbb{Q}] = p - 1$ would divide $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = p^n$, which is impossible for p odd. $\square$

Remark 2.1.6. *The following alternate proof to the proposition was suggested by Prof. Moshe Jarden.*

Let $f(x)$ be a monic rational polynomial such that:

1. $f(x) \equiv x^{p^r} - 1 \pmod{p^r}$ for some r .
2. *The Galois group of the splitting field K of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to S_{p^n} .*

Hilbert's irreducibility Theorem guarantees the existence of such a polynomial and Krasner's Lemma implies that $\mu_{p^n} \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime divisor of p in K . Also, by Condition 2, $\mu_p \not\subseteq K$ (the only cyclic quotient of S_{p^n} is of order 2).

2.2 Semidirect products

In this section, we wish to construct some semidirect products of p -groups for which we are able to decide if they are K -admissible using the tools presented in the background part. As stated after Theorem 1.1.9, we will concentrate on non-metacyclic p -groups and we will always assume that K contains two prime divisors $\mathfrak{p}$ of p . The question whether a p -group is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a purely group theoretic matter because the structure of $G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(p)$ is completely determined by Theorems 1.3.1, 1.3.2, 1.3.6 and 1.3.7.

Therefore, in this section, we will check the K -admissibility of p -groups that can be expressed as semidirect products and that are already known to be realizable at two places. We can say that we want check the validity of Conjecture 1.1.10 for some pairs of (G, K) .

Theorem 2.2.1. *Let G and H be p -groups, K a number field containing two prime divisors $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$ dividing p .*

Assume that there exist G -generic and H -generic extensions over K and that $H \wr G$ is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}_1}$ and $K_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$.

Then the wreath product $H \wr G$ is K -admissible.

Proof. By Theorem 1.4.19, $H \wr G$ is generic over K . The theorem follows from 1.4.14. $\square$

Corollary 2.2.2. *Let G and H be p -groups, K a number field containing two prime divisors $\mathfrak{p}$ dividing p .*

Assume that there exist G -generic and H -generic extensions over K and that $H \wr G$ is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for both $\mathfrak{p}$.

Then every semidirect product $H \rtimes G$ is K -admissible.

Proof. $H \rtimes G$ is a homomorphic image of $H \wr G$ (see for instance [JLY] p. 108). By the last theorem, $H \wr G$ is K -admissible. Since K -admissibility is inherited by homomorphic images (Corollary 1.1.6), $H \rtimes G$ is K -admissible. $\square$

Remark 2.2.3. *In general, there is no finite group A such that the wreath product $H \wr G$ is isomorphic to $A \rtimes (H \rtimes G)$. For example: $H = G = C_2$. Then, $H \wr G \simeq D_8$. If there were such a group A , it would be of order 2 but $C_2 \rtimes (C_2 \rtimes C_2) \simeq (C_2)^3$.*

Therefore, the last corollary is not covered by Theorem 1.4.21.

Theorem 2.2.4. *Let p be an odd rational prime, K a number field containing two prime divisors $\mathfrak{p}$ of p and A an abelian p -group.*

Assume that $E = C_{p^m} \rtimes A$ is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for both $\mathfrak{p}$.

Then E is K -admissible.

Proof. First, note that if μ_p is not contained in K , then the theorem follows from Theorem 1.2.8.

Now assume that μ_p is contained in K . Let f be the natural homomorphism from E onto A (with kernel C_{p^m}) and $\varepsilon \in H^2(G, A)$ be the class corresponding to f .

For both $\mathfrak{p}$, let $L^{(\mathfrak{p})}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a Galois E -extension and $M^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ the subfield of $L^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ fixed by C_{p^m} . (It acts naturally on $L^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ as a subgroup of the Galois group of $L^{(\mathfrak{p})}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.)

Then, the restriction homomorphism $\theta^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ from $G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ onto E is a surjective solution to the local embedding problem: $[E, K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M^{(\mathfrak{p})}, \varepsilon]$ and the solution field induced by $\theta^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is $L^{(\mathfrak{p})}$.

Now, by Grunwald-Wang-Hasse Theorem (Theorem 1.2.7), there exists a Galois A -extension M/K such that $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = M^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ for every $\mathfrak{p}$ in S .

Now, we look at the global embedding problem: $[E, K, M, \varepsilon]$. We make two observations:

1. By Remark 1.5.10, the local embedding problem at $\mathfrak{p}$ defined above $[E, K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M^{(\mathfrak{p})}, \varepsilon]$ is the localization of this embedding problem. Indeed, we have:
 - (a) $M^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is the completion of M at $\mathfrak{p}$
 - (b) $\text{Gal}(M^{(\mathfrak{p})}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{Gal}(M/K)$
2. It is a split embedding problem, therefore there is a trivial solution θ (see proof of Corollary 1.5.7).

By Theorem 1.5.17, there exists a global solution $\tilde{\theta}$ such that $\tilde{\theta}|_{G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} = \theta^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ for every $\mathfrak{p}$ in S . The surjectivity of $\tilde{\theta}|_{G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ (for one of the prime divisors $\mathfrak{p}$ in S) implies the surjectivity of $\tilde{\theta}$. Let L be the solution field induced by $\tilde{\theta}$.

By Remark 1.5.11, $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = L^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ for every $\mathfrak{p}$ in S . $\square$

Remark 2.2.5. *In the case where μ_{p^m} is contained in K , Theorem 2.2.4 can be proved in an easier way: By Corollary 1.4.18, $E = C_{p^m} \rtimes A$ is generic over K , because $\mu_{p^m} \subseteq K$. By Theorem 1.4.14 and the assumption that E is realizable locally for two prime divisors of K , E is K -admissible.*

2.3 Groups of order 16

In this section, we give a complete classification of all the quadratic number fields K such that G is K -admissible, where G is a 2-group of order ≤ 16 .

We begin with a lemma from number theory:

Lemma 2.3.1. *Let m be a squarefree natural number such that $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.*

Then there exists a prime number p such that:

1. $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m}) \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$
2. $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$
3. $i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$

Proof. The lemma follows from Chebotarev's density theorem, but we give here an elementary proof.

We recall that: $i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Therefore, 3. follows from 2.

Also, $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m}) \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ if and only if m is not a square mod p . Write $m = q_1 \cdots q_r$, where all the q_i are distinct odd prime numbers. Let a be an integer such that the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{a}{q_1}\right) = -1$: we will show the existence of a prime number p such that:

1. $p \equiv a \pmod{q_1}$
2. $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q_i} \quad \forall i = 2, \dots, r$
3. $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$

By the Chinese remainder theorem, there exists an integer b such that conditions 1. 2. and 3. are equivalent to $p \equiv b \pmod{8m}$. By Dirichlet's theorem, there are infinitely many primes p like that. By the generalized quadratic reciprocity law, we have ($\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$ denotes the Jacobi symbol):

$$\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{m}\right) \cdot (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{m-1}{2}} = \left(\frac{a}{q_1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{q_2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{q_r}\right) = -1$$

Hence, conditions 1. 2. and 3. of the theorem hold. $\square$

Our main theorem is:

Theorem 2.3.2. *Let G be a non-metacyclic 2-group of order ≤ 16 and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ (m squarefree).*

Then G is K -admissible if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$
2. $G \not\cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$.

Proof. We recall that the condition “ $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ ” is equivalent to the condition “2 has two prime divisors in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ ”.

Now, assume that G is K -admissible. G is not metacyclic; therefore by Example 1.1.8, G must have two prime divisors $\mathfrak{p}_i$ in K . Thus, Condition 1. is satisfied.

Also, we have: $2 \cdot [K_{\mathfrak{p}_i} : \mathbb{Q}_2] = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. Thus, $K_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathbb{Q}_2$.

Let $H = (C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2)$. We have to show that H is not K -admissible. $\text{rank}(H) = 4$, therefore H is not metacyclic. If H were K -admissible, it would have been realizable over $\mathbb{Q}_2$, contradicting Theorem 1.3.6.

For the other direction of the theorem: assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ (m squarefree) and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and let G be a non-metacyclic 2-group of order ≤ 16 other than $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. We have to show that G is K -admissible.

We use the classification of the groups of order 16 that appears in [GSS] §4.

There are two abelian, non-metacyclic groups of order ≤ 16 , other than $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$: $H_0 = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ and $B = C_4 \times C_2 \times C_2$. Both are abelian of rank 3 with 3 generators a, b, c such that $a^2 = b^4 = 1$. Therefore by Theorem 1.3.6, they are realizable over $\mathbb{Q}_2$. For both, the exponent is ≤ 4 , therefore the extension that appears in the definition of the special case is cyclic: thus we are out of the special case. Therefore by Theorem 1.2.7, H_0 and B are K -admissible.

There are 4 non-abelian, non-metacyclic groups of order 16:

1. $H_1 = D_8 \times C_2$
2. $H_2 = Q_8 \times C_2$
3. $H_3 = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^4 = 1, b^{-1}ab = ac^2, (a, c) = (b, c) = 1 \rangle$. (The central product $D_8 C_4$ amalgamating the central elements of order 2.)
4. $H_4 = \langle a, b, c \mid a^4 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = ac, (a, c) = (b, c) = 1 \rangle$. (The pullback of the system: $Q_8 \rightarrow C_2, C_4 \rightarrow C_2$.)

We first show that D_8 and Q_8 are realizable over $\mathbb{Q}_2$.

$$D_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^2 = \tau^4 = 1, \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \tau^3 \rangle$$

$$Q_8 = \langle i, j \mid i^2 = j^2 = -1, i^{-1}ji = j^3 \rangle$$

We choose the following generators for Serre's relation (Theorem 1.3.6), $x^2y^4(y, z) = 1$:

1. D_8 : $x = \sigma, y = \tau, z = e$.
2. Q_8 : $x = i, y = i, z = j$.

$\mathbb{Q}_2^*/(\mathbb{Q}_2^*)^2 \simeq C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ and the order of the maximal abelian quotient of D_8 and Q_8 is 4. By Theorem 1.3.10, H_1 and H_2 are realizable over $\mathbb{Q}_2$.

To see that H_3 and H_4 are realizable over $\mathbb{Q}_2$, we choose the following generators for Serre's relation (Theorem 1.3.6), $x^2y^4(y, z) = 1$:

1. H_3 : $x = a, y = c, z = b$.
2. H_4 : $x = b, y = a, z = c$.

Now, we wish to show explicitly the K -admissibility of H_0 . We recall that $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{a}) = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{b}) \Leftrightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{8}$. Let $M = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{5})$ be the unique H_0 -extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. (The uniqueness of this extension follows from Kummer theory and the fact that $|\mathbb{Q}_2^*/(\mathbb{Q}_2^*)^2| = 8$.)

Let $F = K(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{p})$, where p is the rational prime given by Lemma 2.3.1. $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \Rightarrow$ the completion of F at both prime divisors of 2 in K is M .

Now, we will show simultaneously the K -admissibility of H_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Let $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. $\text{rank}(H_i) = 3$ therefore, we have the group extension:

$$1 \longrightarrow C_2 = \Phi(H_i) \longrightarrow H_i \xrightarrow{f_i} H_0 \longrightarrow 1$$

We already showed that H_i is realizable over $\mathbb{Q}_2$. Let $L_i/\mathbb{Q}_2$ be an H_i -extension. $H_i/\Phi(H_i) = H_0 \Rightarrow L_i^{\Phi(H_i)} = M$. (Because of the uniqueness of M as a H_0 extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$.)

We wish to show that there is a solution to the following global embedding problem: $[H_i, K, F, \varepsilon_i]$, where ε_i is the element of $H^2(H_i, C_2)$ corresponding to f_i . This is a Brauer embedding problem (see Definition 1.5.13: we have $r = 2$ and $\mu_2 \subseteq K$). By Corollary 1.5.16, it is sufficient to solve the local embedding problems at the ramified primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of F/K .

The only primes that could be ramified in F/K are the prime divisors of 2 and p in K .

For a prime divisor of 2, the local embedding problem is: $[H_i, \mathbb{Q}_2, M, \varepsilon_i]$. M is the fixed field of L_i under the action of $\Phi(H_i)$; therefore there is a solution to this local embedding problem.

$m \notin \mathbb{Q}_p^2 \Rightarrow p$ has only one prime divisor $\mathfrak{p}$ in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ and $K_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m})$.

The local embedding problem at $\mathfrak{p}$ is: $[B_i, \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m}), F_p := \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p}), \varepsilon_i]$, where $B_i = f_i^{-1}(\text{Gal}(F_p/\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m})))$.

By the choice of p , m is not a square modulo p , so $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m}) \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$; and $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ implies that 2 is not a square modulo p either. Hence $2m$ is a square modulo p , so $\sqrt{2m} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and therefore $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m})$. Consequently $F_p = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p}) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{p})$, and this is a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m})$: indeed $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m})/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified while $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p})/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is ramified, so $\sqrt{p} \notin \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m})$. Thus $\text{Gal}(F_p/\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m})) = C_2$ and $|B_i| = 4$.

There are two possibilities:

1. $B_i = C_2 \times C_2$: This is a split embedding problem, therefore there is a trivial solution.
2. $B_i = C_4$: $T = F_p(\sqrt[4]{p})$ is a Galois C_4 -extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{m})$ containing F_p (we chose p such that $i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$). There is only one homomorphism from C_4 onto C_2 , therefore by Remark 1.5.2, there exists a (surjective) solution to the embedding problem at p .

We solved the local embedding problems at all the ramified primes, therefore there is a global solution: $\theta_i : G_K \longrightarrow H_i$. The surjectivity of the global solution follows from Theorem 1.5.8. Call the solution field S_i . By Remark 1.5.11, $\theta_i|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_2}}$ is a solution to the local embedding problem for each prime divisor $\mathfrak{q}$ of 2 in K . The local embedding problem also has a Frattini kernel: by Theorem 1.5.8 again, $\theta_i|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_2}}$ is surjective. By Remark 1.5.1, it leads to a solution field $T_i^{\mathfrak{q}}$ with Galois group H_i over $\mathbb{Q}_2$. $T_i^{\mathfrak{q}}$ is the completion of S_i at $\mathfrak{q}$; thus, S_i is K -adequate and H_i is K -admissible. $\square$

2.4 Extra-special p -groups

In this section, we show that Conjecture 1.1.10 holds in the case of extra-special p -groups.

Let G be an extra-special p -group. If G is metacyclic, the conjecture holds by Remark 1.1.11. If G is not metacyclic, the two primes of the conjecture must divide p (see Example 1.1.8).

Theorem 2.4.1. *Let G be an extra special p -group and K a number field containing two prime divisors $\mathfrak{p}$ of p such that G is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Then G is K -admissible.

Proof. If $\mu_p \not\subseteq K$, let $L^{(\mathfrak{p})}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be two G -extensions. By Theorem 1.2.8, there exists a global G -extension L/K such that $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = L^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ at both $\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore G is K -admissible.

Now, assume that $\mu_p \subseteq K$. By Theorem 1.6.10, there is an integer $r \geq 0$ such that G is one of the following central products: $G_1 = D^r Q$, $G_2 = D^{r+1}$, where D and Q are the two non-isomorphic non-abelian groups of order p^3 (see Theorem 1.6.3). Anyway, $\text{rank}(G) = 2r + 2$.

In the case where $r = 0$, the theorem follows from Theorem 1.4.20.

Thus, we assume that $r \geq 1$: G_1 is a quotient of the direct product $H_1 = D^{\times r} \times Q$ and G_2 is a quotient of the direct product $H_2 = D^{\times(r+1)}$. Since K -admissibility is inherited by quotient (Theorem 1.1.6), it is sufficient to prove that H_1 and H_2 are K -admissible.

Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By the assumptions of the theorem, G_i is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore, $\text{rank}(G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(p)) \geq \text{rank}(G_i)$. But $\text{rank}(G_i) = \text{rank}(H_i) = 2r + 2$. We are going to show that H_i is also realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Write H_i as the direct product of $C_j = \langle a_j, b_j \rangle$ ($j = 1, \dots, r + 1$), where $C_j \simeq D$ for all $j = 1, \dots, r$ and $C_{r+1} \simeq D$ or Q . We recall that:

1. D is generated by two elements of order p .
2. Q is generated by one element of order p and one element of order p^2 .

For each j , we write $C_j = \langle a_j, b_j \rangle$, where we chose a_j and b_j such that the order of a_j is always not greater than the order of b_j . Then the following hold:

1. $[C_k, C_l] = 1 \quad \forall k \neq l$.
2. $a_j^p = b_j^p = 1 \quad j = 1, \dots, r$.
3. $a_{r+1}^{p^2} = b_{r+1}^{p^2} = 1$, and $a_{r+1}^p = 1$ if p is odd.

(For $p = 2$ we have $C_j \simeq D_8$ for $j \leq r$, which is generated by two involutions, while C_{r+1} may be Q_8 , both of whose minimal generators have order 4.)

Now, we wish to show that Demuskin's relation holds if $p^s > 2$ and Serre's and both Labute's relations hold if $p^s = 2$. First we write those relations, with $n = [K_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, so that $\text{rank}(G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(p)) = n + 2$ and hence $n \geq 2r$:

1. Demuskin's relation: $x_1^{p^s}(x_1, x_2)(x_3, x_4) \dots (x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 1$.
2. Serre's relation: $x_1^2 x_2^4 (x_2, x_3)(x_4, x_5) \dots (x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 1$.

3. Labute's relation (1): $x_1^{2+2^f}(x_1, x_2)(x_3, x_4) \dots (x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 1$ ($f \geq 2$).

4. Labute's relations (2): $x_2^2(x_1, x_2)x_3^{2^f}(x_3, x_4) \dots (x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 1$ ($f \geq 2$).

In each case we pair a generator of C_j with a generator of $C_{j'}$ for some $j' \neq j$, so that by 1. every commutator occurring in the relation is trivial, and we place in the slots carrying a power a generator of sufficiently small order.

For Demuskin's relation and for Labute's first relation we choose:

$$x_{2j-1} = a_j, \quad x_{2j} = b_{j+1} \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

$$x_{2r+1} = a_{r+1}, \quad x_{2r+2} = b_1, \quad x_i = e \quad (i > 2r + 2).$$

Here $x_1 = a_1$ has order p by 2., so $x_1^{p^s} = 1$ (as $p \mid p^s$) and, when $p = 2$, $x_1^{2+2^f} = 1$ as well.

For Serre's relation ($p = 2$, n odd) we choose:

$$x_1 = a_1, \quad x_{2j} = b_j, \quad x_{2j+1} = a_{j+1} \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

$$x_{2r+2} = b_{r+1}, \quad x_i = e \quad (i > 2r + 2),$$

so that $x_1^2 = a_1^2 = 1$ and $x_2^4 = b_1^4 = 1$. (Here n is odd and $n \geq 2r$, hence $n \geq 2r + 1$ and there are enough generators.)

For Labute's second relation we choose:

$$x_1 = b_2, \quad x_2 = a_1, \quad x_{2j-1} = a_j, \quad x_{2j} = b_{j+1} \quad (j = 2, \dots, r),$$

$$x_{2r+1} = a_{r+1}, \quad x_{2r+2} = b_1, \quad x_i = e \quad (i > 2r + 2),$$

so that $x_2^2 = a_1^2 = 1$ and $x_3^{2^f} = a_2^{2^f} = 1$, since $a_2^4 = 1$ and $f \geq 2$.

Therefore, by Theorems 1.3.2, 1.3.6 and 1.3.7, H_i is realizable over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for both $\mathfrak{p}$: let $M^{(\mathfrak{p})}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be two H_i -extensions.

$\mu_p \subseteq K$ therefore by Theorem 1.4.20, D and Q have generic extensions over K . By Theorem 1.4.12, H_i has a generic extensions over K . By Theorem 1.4.14, there exists a global H_i -extension M/K such that $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = M^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ at both $\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore H_i is K -admissible. $\square$

2.5 Local realizability

We conclude the results part with the introduction of a definition that allows us to reformulate previous results and to obtain new results.

Definition 2.5.1. *Let G be a p -group, K a number field and r a natural number ≥ 2 .*

We say that G is (r, K) -locally realizable if for any set of r pairs $(\mathfrak{p}_i, L_i)$, such that $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ are pairwise distinct primes of K and $L_i/K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ a G -extension, there exists a G -extension L/K such that $L_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = L_i$ for (at least) two indexes i .

Obviously, if G is (r, K) -locally realizable, then G is (s, K) -locally realizable $\forall s \geq r$.

Remark 2.5.2. *It follows from Theorem 1.1.3 that if a p -group G is (r, K) -locally realizable for some integer $r \geq 2$ and there are r completions of K : $K^1, \dots, K^r$ such that G is realizable over $K^1, \dots, K^r$ then G is K -admissible.*

Remark 2.5.3. *Let K be a number field and G a $(2, K)$ -locally realizable p -group. Then Conjecture 1.1.10 holds for G over K . However, the other direction is not necessarily true; the following situation could happen: G is K -admissible, $L_i/K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ are G -extension for $i = 1, 2$ but no G -extension of K has L_1 as completion at $\mathfrak{p}_1$. Therefore, the property of being $(2, K)$ -locally realizable can be seen as a reinforcement of Conjecture 1.1.10.*

We give now a few examples that show how to translate previous results in terms of local realizability.

Example 2.5.4. *By Theorem 1.4.14, if G has a generic extension over K , then G is $(2, K)$ -locally realizable.*

The property of being (r, K) -locally realizable is also relevant when $r > 2$ as shown by the following example:

Example 2.5.5. *Using the technique that appeared in the proof of Theorem 2.1.3, we get: if G is abelian of order p^m , $m \geq 3$, then G is $(3, K)$ -locally realizable provided that there is a prime divisor of p in K , which is a critical prime for (K, m) .*

Now, we get the following theorem that is a generalization of Theorem 2.2.4:

Theorem 2.5.6. *Let p be an odd rational prime, K a number field and G a p -group.*

Assume that G is (r, K) -locally realizable. Then $E = C_{p^m} \rtimes G$ is (r, K) -locally realizable.

Proof. Same proof as Theorem 2.2.4 using the assumption that G is (r, K) -locally realizable instead of Theorem 1.2.7. $\square$

Corollary 2.5.7. *Let p be an odd rational prime, K a number field, G a p -group that has a generic extension over K .*

Then $C_{p^m} \rtimes G$ is $(2, K)$ -locally realizable.

Proof. By Example 2.5.4, G is $(2, K)$ -locally realizable. The corollary follows directly from the last theorem. $\square$

Remark 2.5.8. *The fact that G has a generic extension over K does not imply that $C_{p^m} \rtimes G$ has a generic extension over K : the property of being generic over K does not pass from G to $C_{p^m} \rtimes G$. However, the last corollary states that the property of being (r, K) -locally realizable does pass from G to $C_{p^m} \rtimes G$. In particular, we get the following corollary:*

Corollary 2.5.9. *Let p be an odd rational prime, K a number field and G a p -group that has a generic extension over K .*

Then Conjecture 1.1.10 holds for $C_{p^m} \rtimes G$ over K .

Proof. By the previous corollary, $C_{p^m} \rtimes G$ is $(2, K)$ -locally realizable. By Remark 2.5.3, Conjecture 1.1.10 holds for $C_{p^m} \rtimes G$ over K . $\square$

Bibliography

- [FrJ] Fried, Michael D.; Jarden, Moshe. *Field Arithmetic*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik (3) **11**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1986.
- [GSS] Grundman, Helen G.; Smith, Tara L.; Swallow, John R. *Groups of order 16 as Galois groups*. Exposition. Math. **13** (1995), no. 4, 289–319.
- [Has] Hasse, Helmut *Number theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1980).
- [Ike] Ikeda, Masatoshi *Zur Existenz eigentlicher galoisscher Korper beim Einbettungsproblem fur galoissche Algebren*. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **24** (1960), 126–131.
- [JLY] Jensen, Christian U.; Ledet, Arne; Yui, Noriko *Generic polynomials. Constructive aspects of the inverse Galois problem*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2002).
- [Lie] Liedahl, Steven *K-admissibility of wreath products of cyclic p-groups*. J. Number Theory **60** (1996), no. 2, 211–232.
- [MM] Malle, G.; Matzat B. H. *Inverse Galois theory* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, (1999)
- [Neu1] Neukirch, Jurgen *On solvable number fields.*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), no. 2, 135–164.
- [Neu2] Neukirch, Jurgen *Ueber das Einbettungsproblem der algebraischen Zahlentheorie.*, Invent. Math. **21** (1973), 59–116.
- [NSW] Neukirch, Jurgen; Schmidt, Alexander; Wingberg, Kay *Cohomology of number fields*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2000).
- [Rei] Reiner, I. *Maximal orders*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, (2003).
- [Rob] Robinson, Derek J. S. *A course in the theory of groups*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, (1996).
- [Sal1] Saltman, David J. *Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory*. Adv. in Math. **43** (1982), no. 3, 250–283.
- [Sal2] Saltman, David J. *Galois groups of order p^3* . Comm. Algebra **15** (1987), no. 7, 1365–1373.
- [Sch] Schacher, Murray M. *Subfields of division rings I.*, J. Algebra **9** (1968) 451–477.
- [Wei] Weiss, Edwin *Algebraic number theory*. McGraw-Hill, New York (1963).